Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/510,350	HIRAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia Britt	2138
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Cynthia Britt.	(3)	
(2) <u>James Ledbetter</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 September 2006	Time: <u>4pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 second paragraph - receiving or sending channel vs informations.	ation on a channel	
Claims discussed: 10, 12, and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Cynthia Butt 9-25-00 (Applicant		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As discussed in the previous interview, an amendment was made to the claims 1,3, 5, 6, and 9., to incorporate the information being transmitted and received on the channel instead of the channel being received or transmitted. However, these changes were not made to claims 10, 12 and 13. this will be done by examiner's ammendment..